



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,551	09/27/2001	Hiroki Hachiyama	60188-099	8913

7590 08/02/2007  
Jack Q. Lever, Jr.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY  
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER
----------

THOMPSON, JAMES A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/02/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/963,551	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HACHIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James A. Thompson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are directed to the present amendments to the claims. Accordingly, the prior art rejections set forth below are a full response to Applicant's present arguments. The new grounds of rejection have been necessitated by the present amendments to the claims. Thus, the present action is made final.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 2, 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US Patent 5,933,137) in view of Finelli (US Patent 4,937,676).**

**Regarding claim 2:** Anderson discloses an image processor (figure 3; figure 4A; and column 3, lines 3-6 of Anderson) comprising an imager (figure 3(114) of Anderson) for capturing an image of an object (column 4, lines 14-19 of Anderson) and outputting image data representing the image captured (column 4, lines 18-24 of Anderson); and a compressor/expander (figure 3(344) and column 4, lines 55-60 of Anderson), which receives and compresses the image data and then outputs the compressed image data (column 5, lines 46-47 and column 8, line 1-11 of Anderson) or which receives and expands the compressed image data and then outputs the expanded image data (column 8, lines 41-46 of Anderson). The received raw image data is compressed in two ways. The first way is in terms of resolution, which produces the thumbnail image (column 8, lines 1-5 of Anderson) representation of the full-sized captured image (column 7, lines 58-64 of Anderson). The second way is standard compression, such as done to the screenail image (column 8, lines 6-11 of Anderson).

Anderson further discloses an image memory (figure 4A(532); column 4, lines 60-62 and column 5, lines 41-45 of Anderson) for storing the compressed image data thereon (column 5, lines 46-49 and column 10, lines 35-40 of Anderson); a display memory (figure 4A(536); column 4, lines 60-62 and

Art Unit: 2625

column 5, lines 41-45 of Anderson) for storing the expanded image data thereon (column 6, lines 3-11 of Anderson); a display (figure 3(402) of Anderson) for presenting thereon the expanded image data that has been once stored on the display memory (column 5, lines 58-62 of Anderson); and an interface (figure 3(352) and column 5, lines 9-16 of Anderson) for recording the compressed image data, which has been once stored on the image memory, on a storage medium (figure 3(354) and column 10, lines 33-44 of Anderson), wherein image data corresponding to a series of images which are captured consecutively by the imager (column 10, lines 1-12 of Anderson) includes an image which is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium (column 10, lines 22-30 of Anderson).

Anderson does not disclose expressly that the image, once stored on the image memory after being compressed and transferred to the display memory after being expanded, is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium while the image is presented by the display based on the image stored on the display memory.

Finelli discloses an image which, once stored on the image memory after being compressed (figure 3(104→106→112→80) and column 5, lines 53-65 of Finelli) and transferred to the display memory after being expanded (figure 3(112→114→116→128→62); and column 6, lines 8-18 and lines 32-35 of Finelli), is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium while the image is presented by the display based on the image stored on the display memory (column 6, lines 35-42 of Finelli – *The sensed image is displayed on the LCD when the image is stored in the storage device. The image is then continuously refreshed on the LCD.*).

Anderson and Finelli are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control, processing and storage of captured digital image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the display device display the most recently captured image as the image is being stored, as taught by Finelli. The motivation for doing so would have been to be able to see if the picture most recently taken is good enough to be printed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Finelli with Anderson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

**Regarding claim 4:** Anderson discloses that the compressor/expander expands the compressed image data (column 8, lines 41-44 of Anderson), representing each of the series of images which is being transferred to the storage medium (column 8, lines 31-36 of Anderson), and then output the expanded image data to the display memory so that each said image being transferred can be presented on the display (column 8, lines 41-50 of Anderson).

**Regarding claim 6:** Anderson discloses that the display presents the series of images (column 8, lines 6-11 of Anderson) while the compressed image data corresponding to the series of images is stored on the storage medium (column 9, lines 44-50 and column 10, lines 33-44 of Anderson).

**Regarding claim 8:** Anderson discloses successively receiving image data corresponding to a series of images captured consecutively by an imager (column 4, lines 14-19 of Anderson); and successively compressing the received image data as compressed image data (column 5, lines 46-47 and column 8, line 1-11 of Anderson) by a compressor/expander (figure 3(344) and column 4, lines 55-60 of Anderson). The received raw image data is compressed in two ways. The first way is in terms of resolution, which produces the thumbnail image (column 8, lines 1-5 of Anderson) representation of the full-sized captured image (column 7, lines 58-64 of Anderson).

Anderson further discloses temporarily storing the compressed image data (column 5, lines 46-49 and column 10, lines 35-40 of Anderson) on an image memory (figure 4A(532); column 4, lines 60-62 and column 5, lines 41-45 of Anderson); successively outputting the compressed image data to the compressor/expander (column 8, lines 41-46 of Anderson); successively expanding the compressed image data by the compressor/expander (column 8, lines 41-46 of Anderson); successively storing the image data expanded by the compressor/expander (column 6, lines 3-11 of Anderson) on a display memory (figure 4A(536); column 4, lines 60-62 and column 5, lines 41-45 of Anderson); and storing an image of the compressed image data on a storage medium (figure 3(354) and column 10, lines 33-44 of Anderson) based on the image data stored on the display memory (column 10, lines 22-30 of Anderson).

Anderson does not disclose expressly that the image, once stored on the image memory after being compressed and transferred to the display memory after being expanded, is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium while the image is presented by the display based on the image stored on the display memory.

Finelli discloses an image which, once stored on the image memory after being compressed (figure 3(104→106→112→80) and column 5, lines 53-65 of Finelli) and transferred to the display memory after being expanded (figure 3(112→114→116→128→62); and column 6, lines 8-18 and lines 32-35 of Finelli), is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium while the image is presented by the display based on the image stored on the display memory (column 6, lines 35-42 of Finelli – *The sensed image is displayed on the LCD when the image is stored in the storage device. The image is then continuously refreshed on the LCD.*).

Anderson and Finelli are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control, processing and storage of captured digital image data. At the time of the invention, it would

Art Unit: 2625

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the display device display the most recently captured image as the image is being stored, as taught by Finelli. The motivation for doing so would have been to be able to see if the picture most recently taken is good enough to be printed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Finelli with Anderson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

**Regarding claims 7 and 9:** Anderson discloses that the image memory and the display memory are implemented as a single memory (figure 4a(346,532,536) and column 4, lines 60-62 of Anderson).

**4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US Patent 5,933,137) in view of Finelli (US Patent 4,937,676) and Kuchta (US Patent 5,164,831).**

**Regarding claim 3:** Anderson discloses that the compressor/expander produces a reduced-size image for each said image captured and compresses the reduced-size image to obtain and output the compressed image data (column 8, lines 6-11 of Anderson), and wherein the compressor/expander expands the compressed image data (column 8, lines 41-44 of Anderson), representing the series of images (column 8, lines 31-34 of Anderson), and then outputs the expanded image data to the display memory so that the reduced-size versions of the series of images can be displayed (column 8, lines 41-46 of Anderson) in the order in which the images have been captured (column 10, lines 3-12 of Anderson).

Anderson in view of Finelli does not disclose expressly that said reduced-size versions of the series of images are added one by one on the same display so as to present a plurality of images on the display.

Kuchta discloses expanding compressed image data (column 7, lines 30-34 of Kuchta), representing each of a series of images (column 4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta); and outputting the expanded image data to a display memory so that the reduced-sized versions of the series of images are added one by one on the same display (column 4, line 65 to column 5, line 6 of Kuchta) so as to present a plurality of images on the display (column 7, lines 47-52 of Kuchta).

Anderson in view of Finelli is combinable with Kuchta because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control, processing and storage of captured digital image data. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to display the screen-nail images taught by Anderson in a further reduced-resolution format such that the screen-nail images taught by Anderson are added one by one on the same display so as to present a plurality of images on the display, as taught by Kuchta. The motivation for doing so would have been that using a plurality of thumbnail images improves image selection and downloading (column 7, lines 42-45 of Kuchta).

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kuchta with Anderson in view of Finelli to obtain the invention as specified in claim 3.

***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Bobry – USPN 6,229,565 B1 – Patented 08 May 2001 – Filed 15 August 1997.
  - b. Suetaka – USPN 4,943,867 – Patented 24 July 1990.
  - c. Urabe – USPN 4,792,863 – Patented 20 December 1988.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James A. Thompson  
Examiner  
Technology Division 2625

JAT  
21 July 2007

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Moore".

**DAVID MOORE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**